PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference SCB/PB60730	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/GB2005/000350	International filing date (day/month/year) 01 February 2005 (01.02.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03 February 2004 (03.02.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant GLAXO GROUP LIMITED			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 7 sheets, including this co	ver sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any referer to the international preliminary re		he International Searching Authority should be read as a reference or I) instead.		
3.	This report contains indications re	elating to the following items			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the intern	national application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application		
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44his.3(c) and 93his.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).				
			Date of issuance of this report 07 August 2006 (07.08.2006)		
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			Authorized officer Nora Lindner		
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70			e-mail: pt02@wipo.int		

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			MARGOS	REC/D 1 2 MAY 2005	
To:	· <u>·</u> ·····		Ny v. a	P TWIPO PCT	
				101	
	see form PCT/ISA/220			TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
<u> </u>			(r	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
			Date of mailing		
			(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	cant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION		
see	form PCT/ISA/220		See paragraph 2 belo	w	
	national application No. NGB2005/000350	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
		01.02.2005		03.02.2004	
	national Patent Classification (IPC) or I D407/12, C07D213/82, C07D4			(31/4436, A61P25/04	
Applic					
GLA	XO GROUP LIMITED			•	
1.	This opinion contains indication	ons relating to the foll	owing items:		
	Box No. I Basis of the op	inion			
	☐ Box No. II Priority		•		
	Box No. III Non-establishr	nent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of				
	Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; cf	ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations	s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such stat	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement	
	Box No. VI Certain docum	ents cited			
	☐ Box No. VII Certain defects	s in the international app	olication		
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the Internation	nal application		
2.	FURTHER ACTION			·	
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
	and malling address of the ICA:	····	T Authorized Officer		



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Usuelli, A

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7366



	Box No	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	las	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following iguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With re	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forn	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	h	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.
4	I. Addit	onal comments:

			12 december		
app	licability		ion with regard to novelty, Inventive step and Industrial		
The obvi	questions whether the claimed in ous), or to be industrially applicated	ovent ble h	ion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non ave not been examined in respect of:		
	the entire international application,				
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 9-10 (industrial applicability)				
bec	ause:				
⊠	the said international application following subject matter which d	, or t	he said claims Nos. 9,10 (industrial applicability) relate to the not require an international preliminary examination (specify):		
	see separate sheet				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.				
	the standard provided for in Annex				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleon not comply with the technical r	otide equir	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do rements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
	See separate sheet for further	deta	ils		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-8

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 70.10) and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 9 and 10 relate to subject matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1 (iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject matter of these claims, cf. Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1- Reference is made to the following documents:
- D1: WO 02/062750 A (SCHERING CORPORATION) 15 August 2002 (2002-08-15)
- 2- Novelty

D1 does not disclose any pyridine derivative having the same substitution pattern of present compounds of formula (I).

Accordingly, the requirements of Art.33.2 PCT are met.

- 3- Inventive step
- 3.1- The applicant has set himself the task of providing novel agents capable to modulate the cannabinoid receptor in particular the sub receptor CB2.

Document d1 relate to polycyclic compounds having the same use of present compounds. Taking into account of the data disclosed on page 19 of the application, it appears credible that substantially all the claimed compound modulate the CB2 receptor.

Accordingly, the objective technical problem can be seen in the provision of further CB2 modulators.

3.2- The skilled person faced with the technical problem defined above would not find any hint in d1 for preparing present compounds of formula (I). Accordingly, the requirements of Art. 33.3 PCT are met.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/000350

Re Item VI Certain documents cited

D2: WO 2004/029026 A (GLAXO GROUP LIMITED; EATHERTON, ANDREW, JOHN; GIBLIN, GERARD, MARTIN,) 8 April 2004 (2004-04-08)

D3: WO 2004/029027 A (GLAXO GROUP LIMITED; EATHERTON, ANDREW, JOHN; GIBLIN, GERARD, MARTIN,) 8 April 2004 (2004-04-08)

10597414

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			11 Aug 05		REC'D 12 M	IAY 2005
То:			Mur. A	P	WIPO	PCT
see form PCT/ISA/220			INTERNATION	AL SE	le 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	UTHORITY
	icant's or agent's file reference form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 below			
	national application No. I/GB2005/000350	International filing date (d 01.02.2005	day/month/year)	Priority d 03.02.2	ate <i>(daylmonthlyea</i> 2004	ar)
1	national Patent Classification (IPC) or I D407/12, C07D213/82, C07D4			31/4436	, A61P25/04	
Appli GLA	icant AXO GROUP LIMITED					
,	·					
1.	This opinion contains indication	ons relating to the foll	owing items:			
	Box No. I Basis of the op	inion				
	☐ Box No. II Priority					
	_	nent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventive	e step an	d industrial appli	cability
		r invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations			nventive step or i	industrial
	Box No. VI Certain docum	•	,, ,			
	☐ Box No. VII Certain defects	s in the international app	lication			
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the Internation	nal application			
2.	FURTHER ACTION				· .	
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to						
	submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.				of three	
	For further options, see Form PC					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
					•	
Nam	e and malling address of the ISA:		Authorized Officer			

Europe

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Usuelli, A

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7366



	Box No	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
,	lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following aguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and 23.1(b)).
2.	With renecess	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and early to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forn	nat of material:
		in written format
	- 🗆	in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
	·	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	h	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.
4	. Addit	onal comments:

			the step and industrial		
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, Inventive step and Industrial applicability					
The obv	questions whether the claimed in ous), or to be industrially applica	nventi ble ha	ion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non ave not been examined in respect of:		
	the entire international application,				
⊠	claims Nos. 9-10 (industrial applicability)				
bec	ause:				
⊠	the said international application following subject matter which d	, or t	he said claims Nos. 9,10 (industrial applicability) relate to the not require an international preliminary examination (specify):		
	see separate sheet				
	unclear that no meaningful opin	ion c			
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.				
0	the said agreement lieting does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
	·		does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical r	otide a equir	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
	See separate sheet for further	deta	ils		

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000350

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-8

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 70.10)

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 9 and 10 relate to subject matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1 (iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject matter of these claims, cf. Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1- Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 02/062750 A (SCHERING CORPORATION) 15 August 2002 (2002-08-15)

2- Novelty

D1 does not disclose any pyridine derivative having the same substitution pattern of present compounds of formula (I).

Accordingly, the requirements of Art.33.2 PCT are met.

3- Inventive step

3.1- The applicant has set himself the task of providing novel agents capable to modulate the cannabinoid receptor in particular the sub receptor CB2.

Document d1 relate to polycyclic compounds having the same use of present compounds. Taking into account of the data disclosed on page 19 of the application, it appears credible that substantially all the claimed compound modulate the CB2 receptor.

Accordingly, the objective technical problem can be seen in the provision of further CB2 modulators.

3.2- The skilled person faced with the technical problem defined above would not find any hint in d1 for preparing present compounds of formula (I). Accordingly, the requirements of Art. 33.3 PCT are met.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/000350

Re Item VI Certain documents cited

D2: WO 2004/029026 A (GLAXO GROUP LIMITED; EATHERTON, ANDREW, JOHN; GIBLIN, GERARD, MARTIN,) 8 April 2004 (2004-04-08)

D3: WO 2004/029027 A (GLAXO GROUP LIMITED; EATHERTON, ANDREW, JOHN; GIBLIN, GERARD, MARTIN,) 8 April 2004 (2004-04-08)